

## **Form for reporting regarding the recommendations from the Committee on Corporate Governance**

The company is covered by the recommendations on corporate governance, which are available on the Committee on Corporate Governance's website [www.corporategovernance.dk](http://www.corporategovernance.dk).

The schedule is meant as a tool for those companies, who in the form of a schedule want to report on how they live up to the recommendations. The text of the schedule does not replace the recommendations, and reference must be made to the recommendations on corporate governance in so far as foreword, introductions and comments are concerned.

**Notice:** The schedule below contains the Committee on Corporate Governance's recommendations of 16 August 2011. Nasdaq OMX Copenhagen A/S has decided to include the recommendations in the Rules for issuers of shares with effect as of 1 October 2011. The schedule can be used when reporting on corporate governance in the annual report for the financial year starting 1 January 2011 or later.

**Introduction/conclusion: Report regarding 2011**

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b>                      According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p><b>1. The role of the shareholders and their interaction with the management of the company</b></p>			
<p><i>1.1. Dialogue between the company and its shareholders</i></p>			
<p>1.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body, for example through investor relations activities, ensure an ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders in order that the central governing body knows the shareholders' attitude, interests and views in relation to the company and that investor relations material be made available to all investors on the company's website.</p>	<p>X</p>		
<p><i>1.2. Capital and share structure</i></p>			
<p>1.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body every year evaluate whether the company's capital and share structures continue to be in the interests of the shareholders and the company and account for this evaluation in the management commentary in the annual report and/or on the company's website.</p>	<p>X</p>		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<i>1.3. General Meeting</i>			
1.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body and the executive board promote active ownership, including shareholders' attendance at general meetings.	X		
1.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body resolve or submit to the general meeting the question whether the general meeting shall be conducted by physical attendance or as a partly or entirely electronic general meeting.	X		The Supervisory Board believes that general meetings should be conducted as physical meetings. It is furthermore the Supervisory Board's view that for technical and cost reasons partly electronic general meetings should not be introduced in EAC at this stage.
1.3.3 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that proxies given to the supreme governing body allow shareholders to consider each individual item on the agenda.	X		
1.3.4 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that all members of the supreme governing body and the executive board be present at the general meeting.	X		
<i>1.4. Takeover bids</i>			
1.4.1 The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body, from the moment it obtains knowledge that a takeover bid will be submitted, do	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
not, without the acceptance of the general meeting, attempt to counter the takeover bid by making decisions which in reality prevent the shareholders from deciding on the takeover bid.			
1.4.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body give the shareholders the opportunity to decide whether or not they wish to dispose of their shares in the company under the terms offered.	X		
<p><b>2. The role of stakeholders and their importance to the company and the company's corporate social responsibility</b></p>			
<p><i>2.1. The company's policy in relation to its stakeholders</i></p>			
2.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body identify the company's key stakeholders and their main interests in relation to the company.	X		
2.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a policy on the company's relationship with its stakeholders, including the investors, and ensure that the interests of the stakeholders are respected in accordance with the company's policy on such issues.	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<i>2.2. Corporate social responsibility</i>			
2.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a policy on corporate social responsibility.	X		
<b>3. Openness and transparency</b>			
<i>3.1. Disclosure of information to the market</i>			
3.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body adopt a communication strategy.	X		
3.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that information from the company to the market be published in both Danish and English.	X		
3.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company publish quarterly reports.	X		
<b>4. The tasks and responsibilities of the supreme and the central governing bodies</b>			
<i>4.1. Overall tasks and responsibilities</i>			
4.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body determine the company's overall strategy at least once every year with a view	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
to sustaining value creation in the company.			
4.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year discuss and ensure that the necessary qualifications and financial resources are in place in order for the company to achieve its strategic goals.	X		
4.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year define its most important tasks related to the financial and managerial control of the company, including how to supervise the work of the executive board.	X		
4.1.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually discuss the company's activities to ensure diversity at management levels, including equal opportunities for both sexes, and that the supreme governing body set measurable objectives and in the management commentary in the annual report and/or on the company's website give an account of both the objectives and the progress made in achieving the objective.		X	<p>The Supervisory Board believes that there is diversity at all management levels of EAC including equal opportunities for both sexes. Diversity forms a natural part of the CSR objectives for each of EAC's two operating businesses. The Supervisory Board does not believe there is a need to lay down further specific objectives in this regard.</p>
<b>4.2. Procedures</b>			
4.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body review its rules of	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
procedure annually to ensure that they are adequate and always match the activities and needs of the company.			
4.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually review and approve procedures for the executive board, including establish requirements for the executive board's timely, accurate and adequate reporting to the supreme governing body and for any other communication between the two governing bodies.	X		
<i>4.3. The chairman and deputy chairman of the supreme governing body</i>			
4.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a deputy chairman of the supreme governing body be appointed, who must be able to act in the chairman's absence and also act as an effective sounding board for the chairman.	X		
4.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> the preparation of a scope of work and task list specifying the tasks, duties and responsibilities of the chairman and deputy chairman.	X		
4.3.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the chairman of the supreme governing body organize, convene and chair meetings to ensure efficiency in the body's work and to create the best possible working conditions for the members, individually	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
and collectively.			
<p>4.3.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, if the board of directors in exceptional cases asks its chairman to perform special tasks for the company, including briefly participate in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect should be passed and precautions taken to ensure that the board of directors will maintain responsibility for the overall management and control function. A reasonable distribution of duties must be ensured between the chairman, the deputy chairman, the other members of the board of directors and the executive board. Information about agreements on the chairman's participation in the day-to-day management and the expected duration hereof must be disclosed in a company announcement.</p>	X		
<p><b>5. Composition and organisation of the supreme governing body</b></p>			
<p><i>5.1. Composition</i></p>			
<p>5.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually specify the skills it must have to best perform its tasks and that the specification be posted on the website. Proposals for the nomination/replacement of members of the</p>		X	<p>The Supervisory Board is aware of the skills required in order to perform its tasks. These requirements are taken into account when proposals for the nomination of members of the Supervisory Board are submitted to the general meeting. The Supervisory Board does not consider it relevant to post a specification of such skills on EAC's website.</p>



Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
supreme governing body to be submitted to the general meeting should be prepared in the light hereof.			
5.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body ensure a formal, thorough and transparent process for selection and nomination of candidates to the supreme governing body. When assessing its composition and nominating new candidates, the supreme governing body must take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and the need for diversity in relation to international experience, gender and age, etc.	X		
5.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a description of the nominated candidates' qualifications, including information about other executive functions, e.g. memberships of executive boards, boards of directors and supervisory boards, including board committees, held by the candidates in both Danish and foreign companies as well as information on demanding organisational tasks should accompany the notice convening the general meeting when election of members to the supreme governing body is on the agenda.	X		
5.1.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that every year, the annual report contain an account of the composition of the supreme governing body,	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
including its diversity, and of any special skills possessed by the individual members.			
<i>5.2. Training of members of the supreme governing body</i>			
5.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that new members joining the supreme governing body be given an introduction to the company.	X		
5.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body annually assess whether the skills and expertise of its members need to be updated.	X		
<i>5.3. Number of members of the supreme governing body</i>			
5.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body have only so many members as to allow a constructive debate and an effective decision-making process enabling all members to play an active role.	X		The Supervisory Board consists of four members.
5.3.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that in connection with the preparation for each year's general meeting, the supreme governing body consider whether the number of members is appropriate in relation to the requirements of the company.	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
----------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---

*5.4. The independence of the supreme governing body*

<p>5.4.1. In order for the members of the supreme governing body to act independently of special interests, the Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least half of the members elected by the general meeting be independent persons.</p> <p>The independent supreme governing body member may not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• be, or have been within the last five years, a member of the executive board/managerial staff of the company or an associated company,</li> <li>• have received significant additional remuneration from the company/group or an associated company apart from a fee for its services in the capacity as a member of the supreme governing body,</li> <li>• represent the interests of a controlling shareholder,</li> <li>• within the last year, have had a material business relationship (e.g. personally or indirectly as a partner or an employee, shareholder, customer, supplier or member of a governing body of companies with similar relations) with the company or an associated company,</li> <li>• be, or have been within the last three years, an employee or partner of the</li> </ul>	X		
--	---	--	--

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>external audit firm,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• hold cross-memberships of governing bodies,</li> <li>• have been a member of the supreme governing body for more than 12 years, or</li> <li>• have close family ties with persons that are not regarded as independent persons.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.4.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that at least once every year, the supreme governing body list the names of the members who are regarded as independent persons and also disclose whether new candidates for the supreme governing body are considered independent persons.</p>	X		
<p><i>5.5. Members of the supreme governing body elected by the employees</i></p>			
<p>5.5.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the individual company explain, in the company's annual report or on its website, the system of employee-elected board members and the company's use hereof in companies where the employees have chosen to apply the provisions of the Companies Act on employee representation.</p>		X	<p>The Supervisory Board does not have any employee-elected members.</p>
<p><i>5.6. Meeting frequency</i></p>			
<p>5.6.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body meet at regular intervals</p>	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>according to a predetermined meeting and work schedule or when meetings are deemed necessary or appropriate as required by the company and that the number of meetings held be disclosed in the annual report.</p>			
<p><i>5.7. Expected time commitment and the number of other executive functions</i></p>			
<p>5.7.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that each member of the supreme governing body assess the expected time commitment for each function in order that the member does not take on more functions than he/she can manage in a satisfactory way for the company.</p>	X		
<p>5.7.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report contain the following information about the members of the supreme governing body:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the member's occupation,</li> <li>• the member's other executive functions, e.g. memberships of executive boards, boards of directors and supervisory boards, including board committees, in Danish and foreign companies as well as demanding organisational tasks, and</li> <li>• the number of shares, options, warrants, etc. that the member holds in the company and its consolidated companies and any changes in such holdings during the</li> </ul>	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
financial year.			
<b>5.8. Retirement age</b>			
5.8.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's articles of association fix a retirement age for members of the supreme governing body and that the annual report contain information on such retirement age as well as the age of each member of the board of directors.		X	<p>According to the Rules of Procedure the members of the Supervisory Board shall retire when they reach the age of 70.</p> <p>The annual report contains information on the retirement age as well as the year of birth of each board member.</p>
<b>5.9. Election period</b>			
5.9.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that members of the supreme governing body elected by the general meeting be up for re-election every year at the annual general meeting.	X		
5.9.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report state when the individual member of the supreme governing body joined the body, whether the member was re-elected and when the current election period expires.	X		
<b>5.10. Board committees</b>			
5.10.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company publish the following information in the management commentary in its annual report or on		X	<p>Due to its size and competencies of its members the Supervisory Board has decided not to establish any committees other than an audit committee. The Supervisory Board undertakes the functions of such other committees.</p>

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>the company's website:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the terms of reference for the board committees,</li> <li>important activities of the committees during the year and the number of meetings held by each committee, and</li> <li>the names of the members of each committee, including the chairmen of the committees, as well as information on which members are independent members and which members have special qualifications.</li> </ul>			<p>The terms of reference for the audit committee are posted on EAC's website.</p>
<p>5.10.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that a majority of the members of a board committee be independent members.</p>	X		
<p>5.10.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish an actual <u>audit committee</u>.</p>	X		
<p>5.10.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the following be taken into account in composing the audit committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the chairman of the supreme governing body should not be chairman of the audit committee, and</li> <li>between them, the members should possess such an amount of expertise and experience as to provide an updated insight into and experience in the financial,</li> </ul>	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
accounting and audit conditions of companies whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market.			
<p>5.10.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, prior to the approval of the annual report and other financial reports, the audit committee monitor and report to the supreme governing body about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• significant accounting policies</li> <li>• significant accounting estimates,</li> <li>• related party transactions, and</li> <li>• uncertainties and risks, including in relation to the outlook.</li> </ul>	X		
<p>5.10.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the audit committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• annually consider whether there is a need for an internal audit function, and if so,</li> <li>• formulate recommendations on selecting, appointing and removing the head of the internal audit function and on the budget of the internal audit function, and</li> <li>• monitor the executive board's follow-up on the conclusions and recommendations of the internal audit function.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	X		
<p>5.10.7. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish a <u>nomination committee</u> with at least the following preparatory tasks:</p>		X	Reference is made to 5.10.1.



Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>describe the qualifications required in the two governing bodies and for a given position, state the expected time commitment for a position and evaluate the balance of skills, knowledge and experience available in the two governing bodies.</li> <li>annually evaluate the structure, size, composition and performance of the governing bodies and make recommendations to the supreme governing body with regard to any changes,</li> <li>annually evaluate the skills, knowledge and experience of the individual members of the governing bodies and report such details to the supreme governing body,</li> <li>consider proposals submitted by relevant persons, including shareholders and members of the governing bodies, for candidates for executive positions, and</li> <li>identify and recommend to the supreme governing body candidates for the governing bodies.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.10.8. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body establish a <u>remuneration committee</u> with at least the following preparatory tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>make proposals, for the approval of the</li> </ul>		X	Reference is made to 5.10.1.

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>supreme governing body prior to approval at the general meeting, on the remuneration policy, including the overall principles of incentive pay schemes, for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• make proposals to the supreme governing body on remuneration for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board and ensure that the remuneration is consistent with the company's remuneration policy and the evaluation of the performance of the persons concerned. The committee should have information about the total amount of remuneration that members of the supreme governing body and the executive board receive from other companies in the group, and</li> <li>• oversee that the information in the annual report on the remuneration of the supreme governing body and the executive board is correct, true and sufficient.</li> </ul>			
<p>5.10.9. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration committee do not consult with the same external advisers as the executive board of the company.</p>		X	Reference is made to 5.10.1.
<p><i>5.11. Evaluation of the performance of the supreme governing body and the executive board</i></p>			

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
5.11.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body undertake an annual evaluation of the performance and achievements of the supreme governing body and of the individual members of the body.	X		
5.11.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the chairman be in charge of the evaluation of the supreme governing body, that the outcome be discussed in the supreme governing body and that the details of the procedure of self-evaluation and the outcome be disclosed in the annual report.		X	The procedures for this evaluation are informal and not suited for a schematic description in the annual report.
5.11.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body at least once every year evaluate the work and performance of the executive board in accordance with pre-defined criteria.	X		
5.11.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the executive board and the supreme governing body establish a procedure according to which their cooperation is evaluated annually through a formalised dialogue between the chairman of the supreme governing body and the chief executive officer and that the outcome of the evaluation be presented to the supreme governing body.	X		
<b>6. Remuneration of members of the governing bodies</b>			

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<i>6.1. Content and form of the remuneration policy</i>			
6.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body adopt a remuneration policy applicable to the supreme governing body and the executive board.	X		
6.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy and any changes to the policy be approved by the general meeting of the company.	X		
6.1.3. Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy include a thorough description of the components of the remuneration for members of the supreme governing body and the executive board.	X		
6.1.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the reasons for choosing the individual components of the remuneration, and</li> <li>• a description of the criteria on which the balance between the individual components of the remuneration is based.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>	X		
6.1.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, if the remuneration policy includes variable components, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limits be set on the variable components of the total remuneration package,</li> </ul>	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a reasonable and balanced linkage be ensured between remuneration for governing body members, expected risks and the value creation for shareholders in the short and long term,</li> <li>• there be clarity about performance criteria and measurability for award of variable components, and</li> <li>• there be criteria ensuring that vesting periods for variable components of remuneration agreements are longer than one calendar year.</li> </ul>			
6.1.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that remuneration of members of the supreme governing body do not include share or warrant programmes.	X		
6.1.7. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that if members of the executive board receive share-based remuneration, such programmes be established as roll-over programmes, i.e. the options are granted periodically and should not be exercisable earlier than three years from the date of grant. An explanation of the relation between the redemption price and the market price at the time of grant should be provided.	X		
6.1.8. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, in exceptional cases, companies should be able to	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
reclaim in full or in part variable components of remuneration that were paid on the basis of data, which proved to be manifestly misstated.			
6.1.9. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that termination payments should not amount to more than two years' annual remuneration.	X		
<b>6.2. Disclosure of the remuneration policy</b>			
6.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the remuneration policy be clear and easily understandable and that it be disclosed in the annual report and posted on the company's website.	X		
6.2.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the company's remuneration policy and compliance with this policy be explained and justified in the chairman's statement at the company's general meeting.	X		
6.2.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the total remuneration granted to each member of the supreme governing body and the executive board by the company and other consolidated companies be disclosed in the (consolidated) financial statements and that the linkage with the remuneration policy be explained.	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
6.2.4. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the details of any defined-benefit schemes offered to members of the supreme governing body or the executive board and the actuarial value of such schemes as well as changes during the year be included as part of the information on the total remuneration.	X		No such schemes are offered.
6.2.5. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the most important aspects of retention and severance programmes be disclosed in the company's annual report.		X	Reference is made to 6.1.9. The Supervisory Board considers the employment conditions for the Executive Board to be in line with normal standards. EAC has no retention or severance programmes in force for the Executive Board.
6.2.6. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the proposal for remuneration of the supreme governing body for the current financial year be approved by the shareholders at the general meeting.	X		
<b>7. Financial reporting</b>			
<i>7.1. Other relevant information</i>			
7.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the annual report and other financial reports be supplemented by additional financial and non-financial information, if deemed necessary or relevant in relation to the information needs of the recipients.	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<i>7.2. Going concern assumption</i>			
7.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that, upon consideration and approval of the annual report, the supreme governing body decide whether the business is a going concern, including supporting assumptions or qualifications where necessary.	X		
<b>8. Risk management and internal control</b>			
<i>8.1. Identification of risks</i>			
8.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the central governing body at least once every year identify the most important business risks associated with the realisation of the company's strategy and overall goals as well as the risks associated with financial reporting.	X		
8.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the executive board currently report to the supreme governing body on the development within the most important areas of risk and compliance with adopted policies, frameworks etc. in order to enable the supreme governing body to track the development and make the necessary decisions.	X		
<i>8.2. Whistleblowing</i>			



Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
8.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body decide whether to establish a whistleblowing scheme for expedient and confidential notification of possible or suspected wrongdoing.		X	A whistleblower-scheme has been implemented in Santa Fe and WridgWays in 2011 and will be implemented in Plumrose and Interdean in 2012. Due to the number of employees in the parent company (a total of 9 persons) it has not been deemed necessary to introduce such a scheme in the parent company.
<i>8.3. Openness about risk management</i>			
8.3.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the management commentary in the annual report include information about the company's management of business risks.	X		
<b>9. Audit</b>			
<i>9.1. Contact to auditor</i>			
9.1.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body maintain a regular dialogue and exchange of information with the auditor.	X		
9.1.2. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the auditor agreement and auditors' fee be agreed between the supreme governing body and the auditor on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee.	X		
9.1.3. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the	X		

Recommendation	The company complies	The company does not comply	<p><b>The company complies/does not comply with the recommendation due to the following reason:</b></p> <p>According to the Danish Financial Statement Act sec.107b (1)(3), the company must state the reason for not complying with certain parts of the recommendations. Here it is possible for the company to state why a certain recommendation has not been complied with, and what has been done instead.</p> <p>The company is not obliged to explain if a recommendation has been complied with. However, it may be advantageous to do so as it shows what the company is doing to comply with a certain recommendation. This may also be stated here.</p>
<p>supreme governing body and the audit committee meet with the auditor at least once every year without the executive board present. This also applies to the internal auditor, if any.</p>			
<p><i>9.2. Internal audit</i></p>			
<p>9.2.1. The Committee <b>recommends</b> that the supreme governing body, on the basis of a recommendation from the audit committee, once every year decide whether to establish an internal audit for support and control of the company's internal control and risk management systems and state the reasons for its decision in the annual report.</p>	<p>X</p>		<p>The existing control and reporting procedures are subject to regular review. It is the Supervisory Board's view that the establishment of an internal audit function would not add any value to the existing procedures.</p>